1	MELINDA HAAG (CABN 132612) United States Attorney J. DOUGLAS WILSON (DCBN 412811) Deputy Chief, Criminal Division GARTH HIRE (CABN 187330) Assistant United States Attorney		
2			
4			
5	1301 Clay Street, Suite 340-S		
6	Oakland, California 94612-5217		
7	Telephone: (510) 637-3929 Facsimile: (510) 637-3724 E-Mail: Garth.Hire@usdoj.gov		
8			
9	Attorneys for Plaintiff		
10	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
11	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
12	OAKLAND DIVISION		
13	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,) No. CR 09-00775 SBA		
14	Plaintiff,) STIPULATION AND ORDER		
15	v.) CONTINUING STATUS CONFERENCE AND EXCLUDING TIME		
16	JUAN OCTAVIANO LOPEZ,)		
17	aka Juan Octavio Lopez Ortiz.		
18	aka Manuel Vargas, and) GLENDY GOMEZ,)		
19	aka Glendy Elizabeth Gomez,		
20	Defendants.		
21			
22	Plaintiff, by and through its attorney of record, and defendants, by and through their		
23	counsel of record, hereby stipulate and ask the Court to find as follows:		
24	 A status conference in this matter is currently scheduled for 9:30 a.m. on Wednesday, March 2, 2011, before United States Magistrate Judge Donna M. Ryu. The parties request that this hearing be continued until 9:30 a.m. on Wednesday, 		
25			
26	March 30, 2011, in order to provide defendants' counsel with additional time to evaluate the		
27	evidence in this case and determine whether or not defendant should enter a change of plea or		
28	of the first the state and determine whether of not defendant should enter a change of pieu of		
	STIPULATION AND ORDER RESCHEDULING		

HEARING; EXCLUDING TIME

file motions and to prepare for trial in this matter.

- 3. Specifically, additional time is needed for defendant Lopez's defense counsel to review the record regarding defendant Lopez's prior state felony narcotics conviction and to determine whether or not to initiate court proceedings to attack that prior conviction. Counsel for both defendants also continue to need additional time to review the discovery in this matter and to determine whether motions are appropriate. The parties believe that failure to grant the above-requested continuance would deny defendants' counsel and defendant the reasonable time necessary for effective preparation taking into account the exercise of due diligence and that the ends of justice served by continuing the case as requested outweigh the interest of the public and defendant in a trial within the date prescribed by the Speedy Trial Act.
- 4. Thus, the parties respectfully request that the Court find that the time period from March 2, 2011, to March 30, 2011, is excludable pursuant to 18 U.S.C. §§ 3161(h)(7)(A), (B)(iv) because it results from a continuance granted by the Court at the defendants' request and on the basis of the Court's finding that the ends of justice served by taking such action outweigh the best interest of the public and the defendants in a speedy trial and because failure to grant the continuance would unreasonably deny defense counsel the time necessary for effective preparation for trial, taking into account due diligence.

, ,	
IT IS SO STIPULATED.	
	MELINDA HAAG United States Attorney
Dated: March 1, 2011	GARTH HIRE Assistant United States Attorney
	Attorney for United States of America
Dated: March 1, 2011	LYNN KESLAR
	Attorney for Defendant Juan Octaviano Lopez

/s/ RANDALL KNOX

Attorney for Defendant Glendy Gomez

ORDER

FOR GOOD CAUSE SHOWN, IT IS SO FOUND AND ORDERED THAT:

- 1. The currently scheduled March 2, 2011, status conference hearing is vacated. A status conference hearing is now scheduled for 9:30 a.m. on March 30, 2011.
- 2. The time period from March 2, 2011, to March 30, 2011, is deemed excludable pursuant to 18 U.S.C. §§ 3161(h)(7)(A), (B)(iv) because it results from a continuance granted by the Court at the defendants' request and on the basis of the Court's finding that the ends of justice served by taking such action outweigh the best interest of the public and the defendants in a speedy trial and because failure to grant the continuance would unreasonably deny defense counsel the time necessary for effective preparation for trial, taking into account due diligence.

DATED: <u>3/1/2011</u>

Dated: March 1, 2011

HONORABLE DONNA M. RYU UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE